1.94 ad 472 Rt

4039

Washington

January 30, 1937

A REVIEW OF BUSINESS CONDITIONS
Confidential

Agricultural-Industrial Relations Section

Tail Tomasion  Washington tlement of the labor dispute in the sature January 30, 1937 and it comes, should be followed by a substantial sain in production for

this industry. Certain aspects of the motor situation are not unfavor-

10 10 10

## able. Trade come A REVIEW OF BUSINESS CONDITIONS tories have become rather burdansons. The present bull in new our production will afford dealers a chance to exert special effort in moving used cars. At the

#### case time, any car stoc Industrial Production reduced at the season when they are ordinarily built o

take ours of agring demand. Thus, Industrial production reached 121 percent of the 1923-25 average in December according to the preliminary Federal Reserve Board index. This is two points above the 1929 average and only four points below the June 1929 peak. The gain of 7 points for December was an extension of the rapid advance which appeared in November when the index rose 4 points valy reached new recovery highs for four consecutive months. This is the first recession since that of the initial quarter of 1936.

An accompanying chart shows the seasonally corrected Federal Re-Serve Board monthly indexes of industrial production from 1919 through 1936 together with an index of population. This chart shows that production reached its 1923-25 ratio to population in November. Industrial production is now back both to its 1923-25 ratio to population and to the 1904-32 per capita trend.

Despite substantial recovery and virtual attainment of pre-de-Output in factories using agricultural raw materials established a new all time high in December (see attached chart). The 1923-25 average ratio of aggregate production of durable goods to that of non-durable goods has approximately been reestablished. This ratio fell to 29 in August 1932 but had recovered to an average of 96 for the last quarter of 1936. Based on past experience, it seems that this ratio must remain below 110 to avoid the danger of industrial instability and a falling off in domestic demand for farm products.

Current labor troubles in the automobile industry and threats of similar troubles in steel, coal, and transportation, together with the rapid wholesale price advances which got under way during the last quarter of 1936, suggest that the recent sharp advance in industrial 678 production may well be partially due both to forward dealer buying and to an attempt on the part of producers to build up stocks. The near old term production outlook is obscured somewhat by this situation. How-187 ever, some relapse appears to be taking place at the present time. 12 548 The most visible immediate reasons for this are the automobile labor troubles and, more recently, extensive floods. 29,378 10,438

1930

1981

1982

1933

1935

1986

Whereas floods will, no doubt, tend to retard business for a time as they did early last year, the after effects will be stimulating. When the waters subside, considerable rebuilding will be necessary and the business of producers of construction materials accordingly stimus lated. Last year the production index for April (the first month after the floods) rebounded from the year's low of 93 in March to 100 percent of the 1923-25 average.

/ Confidential estimates of Robert R. Nathan of the U. S. Department of Connerce.

### A REVIEW OF BUSINESS CONDITIONS

#### Industrial Production

Industrial production reached 121 percent of the 1923-25 average in December according to the preliminary Federal Reserve Board index. This is two points above the 1929 average and only four points below the June 1929 peak. The gain of 7 points for December was an extension of the rapid advance which appeared in November when the index rose 4 points.

An accompanying chart shows the seasonally corrected Federal Reserve Board monthly indexes of industrial production from 1919 through 1936 together with an index of population. This chart shows that production reached its 1923-25 ratio to population in November. Industrial production is now back both to its 1923-25 ratio to population and to the 1904-32 per capita trend.

Output in factories using agricultural raw materials established a new all time high in December (see attached chart). The 1923-25 average ratio of aggregate production of durable goods to that of non-durable goods has approximately been recetablished. This ratio fell to 29 in August 1932 but had recovered to an average of 96 for the last quarter of 1936. Eased on past experience, it seems that this ratio must remain below 110 to avoid the danger of industrial instability and a falling off in domestic demand for farm products.

Current labor troubles in the automobile industry and threats of similar troubles in steel, coal, and transportation, together with the repid wholesale price advances which got under way during the last quarter of 1936, suggest that the recent sharp advance in industrial production may well be partially due both to forward dealer buying and to an attempt on the part of producers to build up stocks. The near tem production outlook is obscured somewhat by this situation. However, some relapse appears to be taking place at the present time. The most visible immediate reasons for this are the automobile labor troubles and, more recently, extensive floods.

Where se they did early last year, the after effects will be stimulating. When the waters subside, considerable rebuilding will be necessary and the business of producers of construction materials accordingly stimulated. Last year the production index for April (the first menth after the floods) rebounded from the year's low of 95 in March to 100 percent of the 1925-25 average.

Settlement of the labor dispute in the automobile industry, when it comes, should be followed by a substantial gain in production for this industry. Certain aspects of the motor situation are not unfavorable. Trade comments suggest that used car inventories have become rather burdensome. The present lull in new car production will afford dealers a chance to exert special effort in moving used cars. At the same time, new car stocks will be drastically reduced at the season when they are ordinarily built up to take care of spring demand. Thus, when full production can be resumed dealer demand will be exceptionally heavy and movement into buyers' hands facilitated by an improved used car situation.

It appears that production is experiencing a relapse after having successively reached new recovery highs for four consecutive months. This is the first recession since that of the initial quarter of 1936. The upward push of recovery forces, aided by post-flood demand and later by settlement of the auto strike, is expected to hold the current relapse to intermediate proportions.

#### Taximum indicates at Unemployment is Still Large 1923, 1925 and 1929, each

of which was follows

1924

1935

50,949

54,469

81,104

Despite substantial recovery and virtual attainment of pre-depression balance in production, discussed above, unofficial estimates show that about 9 million of the employable population are either without work or are employed at emergency jobs, as compared with 15 million in March 1933. December estimates of employment and unemployment each year from 1929 appear below.

U. S. Employment and Unemployment 1/, December of Each Year, 1929-36

	62400	corrocts	d for inorth	ses in urba	living cost	s and non-far	EL DOON -
	Lation	this r	Total	cain of 10 m	ercent Total	Excl. Agricu	lture
	ah av Em	ployable	s Employed	Unemployed	: Employabl		Unemployed
		Tank Bank	The second second		1		
1929		48,724	45,893	2,831	: 38,243	35,567	2,676
1930		49,051	42,095	6,956	: 38,525	31,921	6,604
1931		49,378	38,764	10,614	: 38,706	28,691	10,015
1932		49,706	36,119	13,587	38,761	25,624	13,13710 84
1933		50,032	37,986	12,046	39,118	27,570	11,548 cent
1934		50,358	38,273	12,085	39,468	28,108	2/11,360
1935		50,680	40,040	10,640	: 39,810	29,375	10,435
1936	1004	51,013	42,162	8,851	: 40,223	31,499	8,724 11.5%
	1925	WILLIAM OF	70,757	AND TE 25	18 63,	978 8,51	5 11.5
	1026		78,626 H	igh and Low	Points 88	740 7,84	6 10.5
	1927		74,566	70,47	67.	636 7,84	3 10.4
Oct.	1929	48,670	48,178	492	: 38,157	182 36,81194	1,34610.8
March	1933	49,787	34,716	15,071	: 38,193		8 14,58030.8
	1930		72,951	8 173.ZE	377	830 8.32	7 8.7
	1931		61.774	1 161/10	19 1817	043 4.11	9 6.7
1/ Cor	fidenti	al estim	ates of Rob	ert R. Natha	n of the U.	S. Denarbuent	Tof Commerce.
-	1933		45.507	2 68 84	9 42.	054 3.80	5 8 7.9

81,931

47,080

50,188

56,304

4,851

8.754

6.471

9.3

10-5

20.3

Settlement of the labor dispute in the sutemobile industry, when it comes, should be followed by a substantial gain in production for this industry. Certain aspects of the motor situation are not unfavorable. Trade comments suggest that used car inventories have become rather burdensome. The present lull in new car production will afford dealers a chance to exert special effort in moving used cars. At the same time, new car stocks will be drastically reduced at the season when they are ordinarily built up to take care of spring demand. Thus, when full production can be resumed dealer demand will be exceptionally heavy and movement into buyers' hands facilitated by an improved used car situation.

It appears that production is experiencing a relapse after having successively reached new recovery highs for four consecutive months. This is the first recession since that of the initial quarter of 1936. The upward push of recovery forces, sided by post-flood demand and later by settlement of the auto strike, is expected to hold the current relapse to intermediate proportions.

#### Unemployment is Still Large

Despite substantial recovery and virtual attainment of pre-depression balance in production, discussed above, unofficial estimates show that about 2 million of the employable population are either without work or are employed at emergency jobs, as compared with 15 million in March 1955. December estimates of employment and unemployment each year from 1929 appear below.

U. S. Employment and Unemployment 1/, December of Mach Year, 1929-36

lture	moliga .lox	Total E		IstoT		
Unemployed	bevolund	Enployables	. peaclamen	Maployed	Raployables	
2,676 6,804 10,015 11,548 11,560 11,560	\$5,567 \$1,921 \$8,691 \$5,624 \$7,570 \$8,108 \$8,108	\$8,245 \$8,525 \$8,706 \$8,761 \$9,116 \$9,468 \$9,810	2,831 : 6,956 : 10,614 : 12,046 : 12,046 : 10,640 :	45,893 42,085 58,764 36,119 37,886 39,273 40,040	48,724 48,051 49,578 49,706 50,032 50,358 50,680	1929 1932 1932 1932 1934 1934
8,724	31,499	40,223	8,851 :	42,162	810,18	1986
		stric	igh and Low P	E		
1,846	36,811	38,187 36,193	492 :	48,178		Oot.

<sup>1/</sup> Confidential estimates of Robert R. Mathan of the U. S. Department of Commerce.

Allowing for the fact that some unemployment exists even in periods of prosperity and realizing that the above estimates are not necessarily very accurate, it is, nevertheless, apparent that industry must still absorb about as many (perhaps more) workers as were added between March 1935 and December 1936 to reduce unemployment to its predepression significance. Without attempting a definite estimate of the expansion of production necessary to absorb six to seven million of the present unemployed, also to make room for the increase in employables, it may be noted that the Federal Reserve production index increased from 59 to 121 between March 1933 and December 1936 while a somewhat comparable number were being added to the nation's working force.

If employment outside of agriculture were to parallel industrial production, an advance of around 25 percent between now and 1940 would be necessary to reduce unemployment to the 2 million mark. This would mean a production index of close to 150 as compared with an average of 105 for 1936 and 121 at the year end. This is not intended as a definite forecast of the actual recovery needed for full reemployment, but is merely a suggestion as to the apparent minimum necessary to such an accomplishment. But an advance to a level of 150 would represent a maximum industrial stituation like that of 1919, 1923, 1925 and 1929, each of which was followed by recession.

#### National Income, Excluding Agriculture

Aided both by numerous industrial wage advances and heavy year-end dividend disbursements, national income, excluding agriculture, rose from 90 to 97 percent of the 1924-9 average between November and December. The 1936 annual estimate (affected to only a minor extent by extra dividends) amounts to \$56,300,000,000 as compared with \$50,200,000,000 in 1935, a gain of 12.2 percent. On a per capita purchasing power basis (i.e. corrected for increases in urban living costs and non-farm population) this represents a gain of 10 percent. The following tabulation shows amount estimates of national income from 1924 through 1936.

Nati	onal	Incom	10,	1924-	1936
		O GE BE	on		The state of the s

partant relatively as

agriculture's contri-

bution to the mation!

in the pre-war period

White Andre made	or any sense since a	CONTRACTOR SERVICES SERVICES	and ann a	AND AND PROPERTY OF STREET, ST.	MANY OF THE ASS.	sean asy brei	NO.
per fr	am person	tal 1/	tal,of	otal with	000 larger, in	1935 and 193	Agric. as
to ma	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRE	. of Comm	R	evision for	Non-A	and but the	Percent of
are pr	robably Se	ries)	ditto	griculture	Agric. 1/	Agric. 2/	Total
1924	se of per	\$66,732	27 (1) 22 (2) 21 (3)	\$67,946	\$60,136	\$7,810	11.5%
1925	restion on	70,757	e hillow	72,293	63,978	8,315	11.5%
1926	Partie Application Comm	73,625		74,586	66,740	7,846	10.5
1927	020	74,566	*Cob	75,479	67,636	7,843	10.4
1928		76,140		77,123	69,182	7,941	10.3
1930	Ln last	72,951	usiness	79,815	71,609 66,830	8,206 6,327	10.3
1931	is was don	61,774	are Sain	61,167	57,048	4,119	6.7
1932	and the same	48,460	228.7	47,604	44,877	2,727	5.7
1933		45,507	148.0	45,649	42,054	3,595	7.9
1934		50,949		51,931	47,080	4,851	9.3
1935		54,469 61,104	:	55,942 62,775	50,188 56,304	5,754 6,471	10.3
		,		0.03 110	00,002	0,217	2000

Allowing for the fact that some unsaployment exists even in periods of prosperity and realizing that the above estimates are not necessarily very socurate, it is, nevertheless, apparent that industry must still absorb about as many (perhaps more) workers as were added between March 1923 and Becember 1936 to reduce unemployment to its predepression significance. Without attempting a definite estimate of the expansion of production necessary to absorb six to seven militon of the present unemployed, also to make room for the increase in employablesy it may be noted that the Federal Reserve production index increased from 59 to 121 between March 1935 and December 1936 while a semewhat comparable number were being added to the nation's working force.

If employment outside of agriculture were to parallel industrial production, an advance of around 25 percent between now and 1940 would be necessary to reduce unemployment to the 2 million mark. This would mean a production index of close to 150 as dempared with an average of 105 for 1936 and 121 at the year and. This is not intended as a definite forecast of the actual recovery needed for full reemployment, but is merely a suggestion as to the apparent minimum necessary to such an accomplishment. But an advance to a level of 150 would represent a maximum industrial stituation like that of 1819, 1925, 1925 and 1929, each of which was followed by recession.

#### Mational Income, Excitating Agriculture and Income,

Aided both by numerous industrial wage advances and heavy yearend dividend disbursements, national income, encluding agriculture, rose
from 90 to 97 percent of the 1924-9 average between Movember and December.
The 1936 summal estimate (affected to only a minor extent by extra
dividends) amounts to \$56,200,900,000 as compared with \$60,200,000,000
in 1935, a gain of 12.2 percent. On a per ospita purchasing power basis
(i.e. corrected for increases in urban living costs and non-farm population) this represents a gain of 10 percent. The following takulation
shows annual estimates of national income from 1924 through 1936.

Mational Income, 1924-1936

			(molffile)		
Agric. es Percent o Total	Agrico. 2/	Non-A	Revision for	Total 1/ (Dept. of Comm. : Series)	
11.5%	87,810	\$60,188	\$67,946	\$66,732	1924
3.11	8,516	65,978	72,293	70,767	1925
10.6	7,646	66,740	74,686 E	78,625	1926
10.4	7,843	67,636	75,479	74,556	rser
10.8	7,941	201,00	221,77	78,240	8881
10.8	8,206	908.EV	818,8Y	78,659	eser
8.7	728.8	66,830	13,157	72,961	1980
7.0	4,119	57,048	61,167	61,774	Teel
T. B. come V	2,727	44,877	47,804	082,82	1982
7.9	3,696	42,054	45,649	45,507	ISSS
9.8	4,851	47,080	s 51,931	50,948	1934
10.8	6,754	50,188	85,942	54,469	ress
20,3	6,471	56,304	62,775	61,104 :	9261

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce and Agricultural Adjustment Administration from 1929; King and A.A.A. prior to 1929.

1/ Income "paid out" as estimated by U.S. Department of Commerce from 1929 except that direct relief is added beginning with 1933 and 1936 is estimated by A.A.A.

2/ This is essentially the same as the U.S. Department of Commerce estimates of agricultural income produced except that revisions have been made by A.A.A. on basis of most recent data.

The first column of figures in the above tabulation brings up to date and extends back to 1924 the U.S. Department of Commerce 1929 to 1935 national income "paid out" series. The second column differs from the first primarily because business savings for agriculture, as estimated by the U.S. Department of Commerce (plus or minus), have

here been disregarded in arriving at agriculture's contribution to the total. Agriculture's contribution as presented in this table shows a substantial fall, relative to total income, from 1929 to 1932 and recovery thereafter. The relative fluctuations are much smaller when based on Department of Commerce income "paid out" estimates and, we

believe, less representative of the true situation.

As will be noted, agriculture's contribution to total national income rose from a low of 5.7 percent in 1932 to 10.3 percent in 1935 and 1936. The 1935-36 ratios were approximately equal to the average of the 4 years immediately preceding the depression. Thus agriculture is again contributing its pre-depression share to the nation's income. However, during the 1909-13 pre-war period, agriculture contributed 174 percent to the total. On this basis the 1935-86 contribution of agriculture was 60 percent as important relatively as in the pre-war period. Over half of this disparity is accounted for by population changes. Non-farm population has increased 58 percent since 1909-13 whereas there has been no increase in the number of people living on farms. Adjusting to a per capita basis it is found that agriculture's contribution to the nation's income is 82 percent as important relatively as in the pre-war period. To have been up to pre-war agriculture's contribution to national income, as estimated, would have averaged \$41 higher per farm person, or a total of \$1,340,000,000 larger, in 1935 and 1936 than shown in the accompanying tabulation. Agriculture's contribution to national income is not the same as net income of farmers but trends are probably not greatly different. Reestablishment of the pre-war balance of per capita purchasing power of net income as between persons living on and off farms is one of the goals mentioned in the "Soil 85.3 Conservation and Domestic Allotment Act". 90.3 Roods 87.8 86.7

Construction

4.8

63.1

83.1

Fans Prices In last month's business review, attention was called to the fact that the year-to-year gain in construction during the last quarter of 1936 was considerably smaller than the percentage gain estimated for

> 133.7 131.4 148.9 149.5

121 in October.

All Others

Post

Other

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce and Agricultural Adjustment administration from 1929; King and A.A.A. prior to 1939.

I Income "paid out" as estimated by U.S. Department of Commarce from 1929 except that direct relief is added beginning with 1935 and 1936 is estimated by A.A.A.

2/ This is essentially the same as the U.S. Department of Commerce estimates of agricultural indome produced except that revisions have been made by A.A.A. on basis of most recent data.

The first column of figures in the above tabulation brings up to date and extends back to 1924 the U.S. Department of Commerce 1929. To 1935 netional income "paid out" series. The second column differe from the first primarily because business savings for agriculture, as estimated by the U.S. Department of Commerce (plus or minus), have here been disregarded in arriving at agriculture's contribution to the total. Agriculture's contribution as presented in this table shows a substantial fall, relative to total income, from 1929 to 1933 and recovery thereafter. The relative fluctuations are much smaller when based on Department of Commerce income "paid out" estimates and, we besieve, less representative of the true situation.

200 Conservation and Domestic Allotment Act". living on and off farms is one of the goals mentioned in the "Soil TT CO balance of per capita purchasing power of net income as between persons are probably not greatly different. Beestablishment of the pre-war to mational income is not the same as not income of farmers but trends then shown in the accompanying telulation. Agriculture's contribution per farm person, or a total of \$1,540,000,000 larger, in 1935 and 1936 bution to national income, as estimated, would have averaged #41 higher in the pre-wer period. To have been up to pro-war agriculture's contribution to the nation's income is 82 percent as important relatively as Adjusting to a per capita basis it is found that agriculture's contrithere has been no increase in the number of people living on farms. Non-farm population has increased 58 percent since 1909-15 whereas Over half of this disparity is accounted for by population changes. guiture was 60 percent as important relatively as in the pre-war period. percent to the total. On this basis the 1935-56 contribution of agri-However, during the 1909-15 pre-wer period, agriculture contributed 172 is again contributing its pro-depression shars to the nation's income. of the 4 years immediately preceding the depression. Thus agriculture and 1936. The 1935-36 ratios were approximately equal to the average income rose from a low of 5.7 percent in 1952 to 10.3 percent in 1956 As will be noted, agriculture's contribution to total national

#### Construction

20\*468 20\*848

In last month's business review, attention was called to the fact that the year-to-year gain in construction daring the last quarter of 1956 was considerably smaller than the percentage gain estimated for

28 332

96° 1968

47 A CORO

SATION.

49,380

00\*140

e\*car

A\*099

3\*2

如\*3

100

2 \* 1

70\*9

the entire year. Tabulated below are both dollar figures and index numbers representing the total value of construction contracts awarded in recent years as reported by F. W. Dodge.

# Construction, 37 Eastern States

	Mil. \$	Indexes		
	terroritation and the state of	1928-100	1933=100	
1928 (high) 1933 (low) 1934 1935 1936	6,628 1,256 1,543 1,845 2,675	100 19 23 28 40	528 100 123 147 213	

The gain for 1936 amounted to 45 percent, bringing the volume up to 40 percent of the 1928 peak year and to 213 percent of that reported for 1933.

An accompanying chart shows the trend of contracts awarded (3 months moving average) for the principal types of building and construction. This shows a substantial decline in the 3 month moving average of contracts since the 1936 August-September peak. Despite a comparatively open winter in the cast, a portion of this decline is, no doubt, attributable to seasonal factors. However, the fact that December contracts were 24 percent lower than a year earlier and that awards for the first 15 days of January 1937 were only 49 percent as large as the entire month of January 1936 suggests that the decline is of more than seasonal significance and emphasises the probability that 1937 may witness a definite reduction in the rate of improvement.

## Prices and Living Costs

The sharp advance in wholesale prices which got under way early in October 1936 had resulted in a 5 percent rise by the middle of Jamu-ary. The largest gain occurred in the farm commodity group where the advance amounted to 10 percent. This is shown in the following tabulation.

	Prices and	iving Costs	3		
	Month of Sept. 36	Month of Dec. 136	Week of Jan. 16	Sept. to January Gain	Week of Jan. 23 (37
Wholesale Prices, 1926=100	81.6	84.2	85.7	5.0%	85.3
Farm Commodities "	84.0	88,5	92,3	9.9	90.3
Feeds	83.3	85.5	87.3	4.8	86.7
All Others "	79.6	82,2	83.1	4.4	83,1
Farm Prices 1910-14=100	124 1/	126			
Cost of Living, 1913=100	143.6	143.2			
Food	133.7	131.4			
Other	148.9	149.5			

to a cultra person to be lated below are both dollar figures and index mader representation of a construction of sometimes to the construction of the construction of

#### sefors avoired Ti , we deprete the latter to the

	9 25	\$ , 4 M	
Entre on a second con-	The second secon	Me the sale of the last little like	
033 013 832	IO IB ZX	929,9 1,294 1,863	1908 (2476) 1828 (174 1984
	53 64		080 F

The rain for the last to the last to the engine with the first rain and the second to the form and the first rain and the last to the first and the first last rain and the first last.

In accommon the class that them of compress to analysis of compress of both ding and some of combine and some of combine and some of combine and some of combine and combine and combine and combines a somethal decline in the S notice arring are considered as the combines as combines as combines and combines are the combines of the combines are all the combines are the combines a

## THE see fold Living to make the later to see 197

The sharm educates the shelteries without solvent transfer and or the interior and orthout in the locates of the interior of the largest eat selection of the largest eat to describe the interior of the before a more than the solvence above a distinction of the content of the interior of the interior.

To Hool	and where the		S. J. M. P. J. S.			1984) small residence.
en .mst			in densed	20 60.		
and the first of the second se	And the second	2 8 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	See to the second second	30 m 12	0///2858.	L problem atanogo.
8.09 7.88	8.2	87.5	68.8 85.5	85.5	91 47	Farm Vozony di van Poods
1.29	10 m		C.C.	78,6	**	eredri III.
5.5	17. 1	11 N . 32 84 1 19.55	TOTAL REPORTED TO	5 1 7 3. 9 60.3	a selection of the	NAMES CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND
	Land the state of the second of	* (	131.4	133.7	COLAN	Cost of Main, 187
			149.5	148.9		*********

The first reaction of any consequence since this advance got under way has occurred during the last half of January. The relapse started about a month after the first indications of reduced industrial production (see weekly production index on an accompanying chart).

PROPERTY. Though living costs increased substantially between May and September 1936, due largely to higher retail food prices, no further increase accompanied the sharp wholesale price advance. In fact, the peak of living costs thus far in recovery was reached in September. just prior to the start of the rapid advance in wholesale prices. Living costs increased fractionally in December but were still somewhat below the September peak, lower food prices more than offsetting the advance in other items.

Chulle! Wholesale price advances at the rate of the last three months should not be expected to continue. Resumption of a sidewise movement similar to that which prevailed from mid 1934 to mid 1936 would be a more logical expectation. Living costs which increased an average of only 1.1 percent in 1936 may move somewhat higher during the first half of 1937; after which (barring another season of low farm production) a declinein food costs should tend to offset continued increases in rents and possibly in other items of the family budget. On the whole, living costs for the year 1937 are not expected to increase sufficiently to prevent the major portion of the estimated 10 percent gain in national income being translated into a similar TARAS. gain in national purchasing power. unimals frime, furn 100,5

125,5

335,4

THE (0)

1201(0)

N JESLE

15,7-4

344 41

4 -

1

.

250%0

2100 E

DATE: W

TI.I

22.0

Logan

13.5.30

LOST (A.)

242.5

164.2

127.00

No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Persons, Name of Street, or ot

B0 | B7

 $\Gamma a_{+} A$ 

13/11/21

Mexiconic Prince, Pent -

Pelianni Palali by Permira

Pelling Ren's, by Parmage.

the the state of t

Lit. Threslayant's, Train

A. A. Timberdart, Mrg.

TALL ENGUETS

Sudan tell by The falls ?

CHIEF CANTO ME Say

Replease retreat, Employers.

For Profit streets

F - Dirino · 8 · \$ 8 · 1 (C) 700 (C) 8 ° 1 (C)

Simone employees & Commit

FOR January 1969 (a) on mary Libi

<sup>(4)</sup> James Larry 1966

<sup>( )</sup> I see come as more ? O'll 19 December 1975

The first reaction of any compagnence since Via advence of the values and off which we have the till of the near the Villes and the Viet of the near the Viet seed of the Viet and a secues indicated the Viet of the Name of

pioner living cases increased substantially before for and coses bor living distance in the triver reall stad or sea, as larky or increase accommended to electric wealers or see always. In fact, the part of living account this fact, the recovery was received in Serber or, it is received in Serber or its received in Majorian trives.

If the stead of the increased fractionally in seconder but were will seem that return will seem the train other items.

Value of the care of the rest of the rest of the last the stand of the

a three ears in given the contract of the cont

THE TOTAL STATE OF THE WAS ARRESTED AND A SECOND OF THE SE

## BUSINESS INDICATORS

	\$				:December	:December
	: Key	: 1936(P):	: 1936(r)	: 1935	: 1933	1929
	:	Turbos,	a Manufacture of Company Spring Park Printer Spring	Andrew to the second	1	:
Farm Income (with bene-	:	:	:	1	2	2
fits) (1)	: a	: \$ 702	: \$ 678	: \$ 620	: \$ 473	: \$ 829
Urban Income (with		1	and the second	The governor that they do no one.	*	1
Relief) (1)	. a	:\$5,376	\$4,992	\$4,428	:\$3,785	\$5,943
Industrial Activity	1	:	2	A management of the state of th	403.00	. 40,000
F.R.B. (1)	: b	: 121	114	101	: 75	: 103
Department Store Sales (1)		: 83	84	75	: 62	: 100
Rural Retail Sales (1)		: 105	98	88	: 62	100
Motor Vehicle Output		*			, Q2	. 200
(Units) (U.S. & Canada)	d	: 519	406	418	: 84	: 126
New Passenger Car Regis-		* 0.20	200	* 270	\$ CPM	. 140
trations (Units)			224	237	: 59	: 139
Dollar Sales, New Passen-			and the second s	201	2 00	. 792
ger Autos (1)	3 6	-	105.1	73.9	: 21.2	78.4
Steel Ingot Production		121.8	TOO T	1000	e crec	1002
(tons)		* A 470	A 997	* * 077	1 700	9 007
Building Contracts, (Dodge)	a a	1 4,432	4,337	3,073	: 1,799	2,903
Railway Carloadings (2)	d	694	754	580	: 513	835
Electric Power Production			700	000	. 00	000
(K.W. Hr.) (2)	8	2,220	2,167	1,951	1,603	1,786
Wholesale Prices, All					,	
Commodities	2	123.0	120.4	118.2	103.4	136.2
Wholesale Prices, Farm	£	124.2	119.4	109.8	77.8	142.9
Wholesale Prices, Food	f	132.5	130.0	132.8	96.9	153.0
Wholesale Prices, Non-Agric.	£	122.4	120.4	119.8	109.0	134.8
Prices Paid by Farmers	£	128(6)	128(7)	122(5)	102(4)	184(3)
Prices Rec'd. by Farmers	£	131(6)	126(7)	109(5)	60(4)	147(3)
Urban Cost of Living	g	143.2	142.9	141.9	134.6	173.7
U.S. Unemployment, Trade		: :			8;	*
Unions (A.F. of L.)	0	: 141(6)	135(7)	209(5)	315(40	138(3)
U.S. Employment, Mfg.	and applications	1 min	1	1	3	100(0)
Industries (B.L.S.)	a	: 93.3	92.3	84.3	74.1	95.1
U.S. Exports		230			193	427
U.S. Imports	8	: 244 ::			9 60 4	310
o and militar on	-		200	201	. 102	910

72 72-71-1-2	
P - Preliminary	KEY:
r - Revised	a - in millions
(1) Adjusted for seasonal variati	on b - 1923-25=100
(2) Four weeks' Average	c - 1929=100
(3) January 1929	d - in thousands
(4) January 1933	f - 1910-14=100
(5) January 1936	g - 1913=100
(6) Jamuary 1937	
(7) December 1936	

# ASSIBELLI SALEILI

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ·	~	er e
eser	: 1933 :					
	A 12	magaga Allaharya Angalais a zi e	r the beautiful distance of	*		and perfect to the first of the first of an armitted order to the first of the first of the first of the first of the first order of the first of th
	4 4		9		la i pad	*****
838 \$	2 1 1 1	(i. ) i	1 64.9 9		8 8	(4) (4)
	₹ .		1			English State of the State of t
.* ': £ .			1 200			
73.04.00	3 10	2 (	× × × ×			A
205	* 19 6		\$ 4.J. E		t £	
OCI	4 80 %	73 ° 73 ° 73 ° 73 ° 73 ° 73 ° 73 ° 73 °				(1) college to the constant
100	3		\$ E <sup>N</sup> .	3 30£ . :		terel Retail Salos (1)
552	***	F 1 %		* */ */ */ */ */ */ */ */ */ */ */ */ */		
10 77 db	6	i m	· ' · ' · ' · '	4.	2 0.1	i (Abarre d., "A (Silima) :
338	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 5				
4° M da	3		2	1	·	
2 40 11	2 4	2 × 2		121.8		[1] 20 7 1 70
1	2		;	े भूति स्था और व्योद्ध और		งกระการเหลือ ที่ดี แล้ว เรื่องเลื
**	\$ (1.77 a) \$ 8 (1.77 a) \$	7	a Tarragia	4,432 :	6	m 34)
D. E. C.			2 2 2	300		Let By Hilliam Demonstrate Front Militage
858	B	\$ 10	\$ 15° 5°	: 566	Day.	the strains are maritimate
2 013 6	2		2		No. of the second	and the service of the services
1,786	B	14.14.2			grade a	(2) (.vv.)
9.601	301	E. 353	1.084	· Oatsi	market a	
ALL ALL CONTRACTOR	S Section :		1		2 1	man family 17 sec.
, e. (.)		;			3 1	Wholesele Prices, Food
- T		( <sub>F</sub> ' \ 3	1 20.4	A SAL TO SAL	2 1	Wholesale Prices, Mon-Agric.
156(3)			128(7)	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	2 1	Prices Paid by Farmers
147(3)		, , 3.	1 14 135	i dollar	2 1	right his c. by Parmire
X 18	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	W		\$ \$2.002	4 2 1	Urban Cost of Living
	\$ 2		9	:		the state of the same of the same of
1. 7 41	3 Branch	(3)503	4 (17) 88.5	1 (2) [ 12 ]	0 :	Unions (A.F. of h.)
-	3 9		9	5	1	U.S. Employdent, Mfg.
1.78	1 74.2	\$ a \$27	\$ 18 m	4. 40.	the same	Industries (B.L.S.)
9.3		\$ · · \$	7. 3			8.1%C+3.2 4 4 8
\$ NE	g FEEL 1	37.4.	s cold	in the state of		કાર્યા કાર્યા કરવા કરવા કરવા કરવા કરવા કરવા કરવા કરવ
	AND A STOMPTON AND A PROPERTY.		. N. B. W. THE LATER LAND		4 -1 -5 -5 -5 -5	

3 V. B	e	Proliminary Rovised	
(d) (the first feet) we	ď	and the constraint of the second of the seco	
No For Children	9	MARRIA TRADUCTOR	(
- in thousands	Ď.	and the contract of	(8%
	L	# 0 market   12 13	
	3	GRAIT TRANSS	(3)
		Inst Trans.	(0)
		WW I was a second	































